

# On the random graph induced by a random key predistribution scheme under full visibility

Osman Yagan and Armand M. Makowski

## WSNs and security

- WSNs are **distributed** collections of sensors with **limited** capabilities for computations and wireless communications.
- Deployed in **hostile** environments where communications are monitored, and nodes are subject to capture and surreptitious use by an **adversary**.
- Cryptographic protection** needed to ensure secure communications, as well as to enable sensor-capture detection, key revocation and sensor disabling.
- Public key cryptography is impractical due to computational and communication limitations.
- Internet style key exchange and distribution protocols based on trusting third parties are **inadequate** for **large-scale** WSNs due to unknown network topology prior to deployment.
- A possible solution: Random key predistribution!

## A random key predistribution scheme (Eschenauer and Gligor 2002)

- Initialization phase:** Each node **randomly** selects a set of  $K$  **distinct** keys from a pool of  $P$  keys. These  $K$  keys form the **key ring** of the node, and are inserted into its memory.
- Key setup phase:** After discovering their **wireless neighbors**, nodes mutually authenticate the shared keys to verify that the other party owns it. Now, they can communicate securely in one hop.
- Path-key identification phase:** The key rings being randomly selected, some pairs of wireless neighbors may not share a key. If a path of nodes sharing keys pairwise exists between them, this (secure) path can be used to exchange a **path-key** to establish a direct (and secure) link between them.

Nodes that have a key in common can communicate via a secure link!



**Q:** Given integers  $P$  and  $K$  with  $K < P$ , how do we select the parameters  $P$  and  $K$  to make the probability of secure connectivity as large as possible?

## Random key graph, $\mathbb{K}(n; \theta)$

- $n$ : The number of nodes.
- $P$ : The size of the key pool.
- $K$ : The size of each key ring.
- With  $\theta \equiv (P, K)$ , let  $K_i(\theta)$  denote the **random** set of  $K$  **distinct** keys assigned to node  $i$ . Assume the random sets  $K_1(\theta), \dots, K_n(\theta)$  to be **i.i.d.** with

$$\mathbb{P}[K_i(\theta) = S] = \left(\frac{P}{K}\right)^{-1}, \quad S \in \mathcal{P}_K$$

- Not equivalent to Erdős-Renyi graph  $\mathbb{G}(n; p)$  since edge assignments may be correlated.
- Quantities of interest

$$P^*(n; \theta) := \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{K}(n; \theta) \text{ is connected}]$$

$$P(n; \theta) := \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{K}(n; \theta) \text{ contains no isolated nodes}]$$

## Main results

**Theorem 1** For any admissible pair  $P, K : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n; K_n, P_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = -\infty \\ 1 & \text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = +\infty \end{cases}$$

where the function  $\alpha : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is determined through

$$\frac{K_n^2}{P_n} = \frac{\log n + \alpha_n}{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Corollary 1** For any admissible pair  $P, K : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{K_n^2}{P_n} \sim c \frac{\log n}{n}$$

for some  $c > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n; K_n, P_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < c < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 < c. \end{cases}$$

Treating absence of isolated nodes is **useful** because

$$P^*(n; \theta) \leq P(n; \theta).$$

Corollary 1 already establishes the **zero law** for connectivity (see conjecture) since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^*(n; \theta_n) = 0$$

whenever  $0 < c < 1$ .

## Related work and a conjecture

**Previously:** Di Pietro et al. (2004-2006): For  $n$  large, they show that the random key graph will be connected with very high probability if  $P_n$  and  $K_n$  are selected such that

$$P_n \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{K_n^2}{P_n} \sim c \frac{\log n}{n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

as soon as  $c > 16$ .

**Conjecture** For any admissible pair  $P, K : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{K_n^2}{P_n} \sim c \frac{\log n}{n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for some  $c > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^*(n; P_n, K_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < c < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 < c. \end{cases}$$

**Motivation:** Zero-one laws for graph connectivity in Erdős-Renyi graphs  $\mathbb{G}(n; p)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ): Whenever

$$p_n \sim c \frac{\log n}{n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for some  $c > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{G}(n; p_n) \text{ is connected}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < c < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 < c \end{cases}$$

with critical threshold for connectivity in  $\mathbb{G}(n; p)$  given by

$$p_n^* := \frac{\log n}{n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Analogy:** With  $\mathbb{P}[K_i(\theta) \cap K_j(\theta) = \emptyset] = \frac{\binom{P-K}{K}}{\binom{P}{K}} = q(\theta)$ , we have

$$1 - q(\theta_n) \sim \frac{K_n^2}{P_n} \sim c \frac{\log n}{n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and although

$$\mathbb{K}(n; \theta) \not\equiv \mathbb{G}(n; p) \quad \text{even with } p = 1 - q(\theta),$$

perhaps  $\mathbb{K}_n(\theta)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(n; p)$  exhibit related asymptotic behavior for graph connectivity!

## References

- † O. Yagan and A. M. Makowski, "On the random graph induced by a random key predistribution scheme under full visibility," Submitted to the IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2008), Toronto (Canada), July 2008.

