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## Mathematical Questions

(1) How do we evaluate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x ? \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Calculus tells us that the antiderivative of a function $f(x)$ over an interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=[F(x)]_{a}^{b}=F(b)-F(a) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) Many integrals cannot be evaluated using this approach, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x^{5}} d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a very complicated antiderivative.

## Mathematical Questions
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Indefinite integral:
Approximate form
Step-by-step solution
$\int \frac{1}{1+x^{5}} d x=$
$\frac{1}{20}\left((\sqrt{5}-1) \log \left(2 x^{2}+(\sqrt{5}-1) x+2\right)-(1+\sqrt{5}) \log \left(2 x^{2}-(1+\sqrt{5}) x+2\right)+\right.$
$4 \log (x+1)-2 \sqrt{10-2 \sqrt{5}} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{-4 x+\sqrt{5}+1}{\sqrt{10-2 \sqrt{5}}}\right)+$
$\left.2 \sqrt{2(5+\sqrt{5})} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{4 x+\sqrt{5}-1}{\sqrt{2(5+\sqrt{5})}}\right)\right)$
(assuming a complex-valued logarithm)

## Mathematical Questions

Idea: Let's replace the original function by a new function that is much easier to work with, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \int_{a}^{b} \tilde{f}(x) d x=\tilde{I} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want $\tilde{f}(x)$ to be a good approximation of $f(x)$.

## Basic Questions:

(1) What strategies exist for choosing and integrating $\tilde{f}(x)$ ?
(2) How much computational work is needed to obtain a required level of accuracy?

## General Framework

The approximation error is as follows:

$$
\text { Error }=\int_{a}^{b}[f(x)-\tilde{f}(x)] d x \leq(b-a) \max _{a \leq \xi \leq b}\|f(\xi)-\tilde{f}(\xi)\|
$$

This inequality tells us the approximation error $E$ depends on two factors:

- The width of the integration interval (b-a).
- The maximum difference between $f(\xi)$ and $\tilde{f}(\xi)$ within the interval $a \leq \xi \leq b$.


## Basic

## Numerical Methods

Basic approaches to numerical integration:
(1) Polynomial Approximation
(2) Rectangular and Midpoint Rules
(3) Trapezoid Rule
(9) Simpson's Rule

Composite methods:
(1) Composite Trapezoid Rule
(2) Composite Simpson's Rule

Strategy: Choose an approximation $\tilde{f}(x)$ to $f(x)$ that is easily integrable and a good approximation to $f(x)$

Two candidate schemes:
(1) Interpolation polynomials approximating $f(x)$.
(2) Taylor series approximation of $f(x)$.

Note: In order for the Taylor series approximation to work, we need the functional derivatives at "a" to exist.

## Polynomial Interpolation

Example 1: Consider the integral: $I=\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x$.
Analytic Solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x=[-\cos (x)]_{0}^{\pi}=2.0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polynomial Interpolation

Consider the data set (3 data points):

| x | 0.0 | $\pi / 2$ | $\pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sin (\mathrm{x})$ | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

A quadratic fit will have roots at $\mathrm{x}=0$ and $\mathrm{x}=\pi$, and pass through the point $\sin (\pi / 2)=1.0$.

## Polynomial Interpolation

So let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=A x(x-\pi) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and determine the value of A by applying the constraint $\sin (\pi / 2)$
$=1.0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\pi / 2)=A \pi / 2(\pi / 2-\pi)=1.0 \rightarrow A=-4 / \pi^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x \approx\left[\frac{-4}{\pi^{2}}\right] \int_{0}^{\pi} x(x-\pi) d x=2.09 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error is $4.5 \%$. Not bad.

## Polynomial Approximation

Example 2: Consider the integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{1} e^{x^{2}} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Taylor series approximation of $f(x)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\frac{t^{3}}{3!}+\cdots \frac{t^{(n+1)}}{(n+1)!} e^{c}, \quad \text { where } \quad t=x^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c$ is a constant $0 \leq c \leq 1$.

## Polynomial Approximation

Solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{1}\left[1+x^{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{2!}+\frac{x^{6}}{3!}+\cdots \frac{x^{2 n}}{n!}\right] d x+\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{x^{2 n+2}}{(n+1)!}\right] e^{c} d x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{n}=3$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=1+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{42}+\text { Error }=1.4571+\text { Error } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

An upper bound on the numerical error is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error } \leq \frac{e}{24} \int_{0}^{1} x^{8} d x=\frac{e}{216}=0.0126 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Difficulties with Polynomial Approximation:

- Taylor series approximations only work well when higher order derivatives exist.

This excludes functions that are continuous, but are not continuously differentiable. (e.g., $f(x)=|x|$ is continuous, but not differentiable at $x=0$ ).

- Some Taylor series converge too slowly to get a reasonable approximation by just a few terms of the series.

As a rule, if the series has a factorial in the denominator, this technique will work efficiently, otherwise, it will not.

## Basic Interpolation Methods

## Rectangular Interpolation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx(b-a) f(a)=\tilde{I} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Basic Interpolation Methods

## Midpoint Interpolation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx(b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=\tilde{I} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Basic Interpolation Methods

## Trapezoid Interpolation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{(b-a)}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]=\tilde{I} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Basic Interpolation Methods

Observation: The midpoint rule tends to be more accurate than the trapezoid rule:



When we get to error analysis we will see that, in fact, this is true!

## Trapezoid Rule

## Trapezoidal Rule

Sketch of Derivation: Let the interval of integration be defined by $h=(b-a)$, and two end points: $(a, f(a))$ and $(b, f(b))$.

Linear Polynomial Fit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=f(a)+\left[\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h}\right](x-a) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrate $p(x)$, then simplify:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x=\left|f(a) x+\left[\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{h}\right] \cdot \frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2}\right|_{a}^{b} \\
& =\frac{h}{2}[f(a)+f(b)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition: Assume that $f(x)$ is continuous over an interval $[a, b]$. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $h=(b-a) / n$.

Next, let's divide $[a, b]$ into $n$ subintervals, each of length $h$, with endpoints at $P=\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]$.

We set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+2 f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+2 f\left(x_{(n-1)}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note: As $n$ increases toward infinity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{limit}_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

## Visual Representation



## Error Analysis

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=T_{n}-\frac{\left|f^{2}(\xi)\right|}{12} h^{2}(b-a) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[a \leq \xi \leq b]$. The method is $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ accurate.

Example 1. Error Analysis for $\int_{0}^{2} x^{2} d x$. Does equation 20 work?
Analytical Solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{2} x^{2} d x=\left[\frac{1}{3} x^{3}\right]_{0}^{2}=\frac{8}{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Trapezoidal Rule

One Step of Trapezoid: (here $h=2, b-a=2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2} x^{2} d x \rightarrow T_{1}=\frac{h}{2}[f(0)+f(2)]=4.0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theoretical Error Estimate: $f(x)=x^{2}, \frac{d f}{d x}=2 x, \frac{d^{2} f}{d x^{2}}=2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error } \leq \frac{\left|f^{2}(\xi)\right|}{12} h^{2}(b-a) \rightarrow \frac{2 \cdot 2^{2} \cdot 2}{12}=\frac{16}{12}=1.33 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Actual Error:

Absolute Error $=\mid$ Exact - Trapezoid $|=|8 / 3-4|=1.33$.

## Trapezoidal Rule

Example 2. Evaluate $I=\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x$.
Analytic Solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x=\left[\frac{x}{2} e^{2 x}-\frac{1}{4} e^{2 x}\right]_{0}^{4}=5,216.92 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

One Step of Trapezoid Rule ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\left[\frac{4-0}{2}\right][f(0)+f(4)]=23,847.66 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Not very accurate at all!

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

Example 3. Evaluate $I=\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x$ with two segments $(\mathrm{n}=2)$.
Solution. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x=\int_{0}^{2} x e^{2 x} d x+\int_{2}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x \\
& \approx\left[\frac{2-0}{2}\right][f(0)+f(2)]+\left[\frac{4-2}{2}\right][f(2)+f(4)] \\
& =[f(0)+2 f(2)+f(4)]=\left[0+4 e^{4}+4 e^{8}\right] \\
T_{2} & =\left[0+4 e^{4}+4 e^{8}\right]=12,142.22 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Answer is much better than one step, but still very poor accuracy.

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

## Test Program Source Code:

```
# ==================================================================================
# TestIntegrationTrapezoid01.py: Use trapezod algorithm to integrate functions.
#
# Written By: Mark Austin July 2023
```



```
import math;
import Integration;
def f2(x):
    return x*math.exp(2 * x)
# main method ...
def main():
    print("--- ");
    print("--- Case Study 2: Integrate x*math.exp(2x) over [0, 4] ... ");
    print("--- =================================================== ... ");
    # Initialize problem setup ...
    a = 0.0;
    b}=4.
    nointervals = 2
    print("--- Inputs:")
```


## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

## Test Program Source Code: Continued ...

```
    print("--- a = {:9.4f} ...".format(a) )
    print("--- b = {:9.4f} ...".format(b) )
    print("--- no intervals = {:d} ...".format(nointervals) )
    # Compute numerical solution to integral..
    print("--- Execution:")
    xi = Integration.trapezoid( f2, a, b, nointervals )
    # Summary of computations ...
    print("--- Output:")
    print("--- integral = {:12.4f} ...".format( xi ) )
# call the main method ...
```

main()

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

## Abbreviated Output:

--- Case Study 2: Integrate $x * m a t h . \exp (2 x)$ over [0, 4] ...

--- Inputs:
--- $\quad \mathrm{a}=0.0000 \ldots$
--- b $=4.0000 \ldots$
--- no intervals = 2 ...
--- Execution:
--- Output:
--- integral = 12142.2245
--- Case Study 2: Integrate $x *$ math. $\exp (2 x)$ over [0, 4] ...
--- ===================================================1
--- Inputs:
--- $\quad a=0.0000 \ldots$
--- b = $4.0000 \ldots$
--- no intervals = 4 ...
--- Execution:
--- Output:
--- integral $=7288.7877$...

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

Systematic Refinement: $T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{512}$ :

| No Intervals | h | Integral $T_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.0 | $T_{1}=23,847.66$ |
| 2 | 2.0 | $T_{2}=12,142.22$ |
| 4 | 1.0 | $T_{4}=7,288.79$ |
| 8 | 0.5 | $T_{8}=5,764.76$ |
| 16 | 0.25 | $T_{16}=5,355.94$ |
| 32 | 0.125 | $T_{32}=5,251.81$ |
| 64 | 0.0625 | $T_{64}=5,225.81$ |
| 128 | 0.0312 | $T_{128}=5,219.10$ |
| 256 | 0.0156 | $T_{256}=5,217.47$ |
| 512 | 0.0078 | $T_{512}=5,217.06$ |

Key Takeaway: Trapezoid works, but convergence is very slow.

## Composite Trapezoidal Rule

Example 4. Use the Trapezoid rule with $n=10$ segments to approximate $\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \cos x d x$.

Determine the absolute true error $\left|E_{t}\right|$, and compare it with the true-error bound provided above.

Solution. We have

$$
\Delta x=(b-a) / n=(\pi-0) / 10=0.314159
$$

and $\Delta x / 2=0.157080$.
Moreover $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{10}$ satisify $x_{i}=a+i \Delta x=i \Delta x$, forall $i=0,1, \ldots, 10$.

Hence,

$$
x_{0}=0, x_{1}=0.314159, x_{2}=0.628319, \cdots, x_{10}=3.14159 .
$$

## Trapezoidal Rule

Solution Continued. Therefore,
$\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \cos x d x \approx \frac{\Delta x}{2}\left(e^{x_{0}} \cos \left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+e^{x_{10}} \cos \left(x_{10}\right)\right)=-12.2695$.

Error Analysis. The analytical solution is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \cos x d x=-\left(1+e^{\pi}\right) / 2=-12.0703 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives $\left|E_{t}\right|=0.199199$. Finally, we note $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ reaches an absolute minimum value of -14.9210 at $x=3 \pi / 4$. And so

Worst case error $\leq(14.9210) \pi^{3} /(12)(10)^{2}=0.385537$.

## Trapezoidal Rule

Example 5. How many intervals are needed to compute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\sin (x)}{x}\right] d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

to an accuracy $10^{-8}$ ?
Solution. First, we note $\left|f^{2}(\xi)\right|_{\max }=1 / 3$.
For the trapezium rule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error } \leq \frac{1}{12} h^{2}\left|f^{2}(\xi)\right|_{\max }=\frac{h^{2}}{36} \leq \frac{10^{-8}}{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $h \leq \sqrt{18} \times 10^{-4}$. We also have $n h=1$.
Number of required intervals: $n \geq 2,357$.

## Simpson's Rule

(Thomas Simpson, 1710-1761)

## Simpson's Rule

Objective: Approximate the integral of a function by fitting a quadratic function $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ through three equally spaced points: $[a, f(a)],[m, f(m)]$ and $[b, f(b)]$.


Interval of integration: $[b-a]=2 \mathrm{~h}$. Midpoint $m=[(a+b) / 2]$.

## Simpson's Rule

Sketch of Derivation: Suppose that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=q_{0}+q_{1}(x-a)+q_{2}(x-a)(x-m) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

fits through $[a, f(a)],[m, f(m)]$ and $[b, f(b)]$.
We can use the method of divided differences to show:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=f(a) \\
& q_{1}=(f(m)-f(a)) / h \\
& q_{2}=(f(b)-2 f(m)+f(a)) / 2 h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simpson's Rule

## Sketch of Derivation:

Next, integrate $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ and simplify. This gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{a}^{b} q(x) d x=\frac{h}{3}[f(a)+4 f(m)+f(b)] . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a single step of Simpon's rule,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} q(x) d x-\frac{1}{90}\left[\frac{(b-a)}{2}\right]^{5} f^{4}(\xi) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[a \leq \xi \leq b]$.

## Simpson's Rule

## Important Point

Notice that the error depends on the fourth derivative of $f(x)$.
Thus, if $f(x)$ happens to be a polynomial of degree three or less,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f_{0}+f_{1} x+f_{2} x^{2}+f_{2} x^{3} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Simpsons rule will give an exact answer, i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} q(x) d x \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Composite Simpson's Rule

Objective: Simply chain together a sequence of simpson rule approximations:


## Composite Simpson's Rule

## Numerical Formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\frac{h}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n / 2}\left[f\left(x_{2 j-1}\right)+4 f\left(x_{2 j}\right)+f\left(x_{2 j+1}\right)\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Error Analysis

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=S_{n}-\frac{h^{4}}{180}(b-a)\left|f^{4}(\xi)\right| \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[a \leq \xi \leq b]$ and $h=(b-a) / n$ is the step length. The method is $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ accurate.

## Simpson's Rule

Example 1. Consider the integral: $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin (x) d x$.
Applying Simpson's Rule to the data set:

| x | 0.0 | $\pi / 2$ | $\pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sin (\mathrm{x})$ | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |

gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{\pi / 2}{3}[f(0)+4 f(\pi / 2)+f(\pi)]=\frac{\pi}{6}[0+4 * 1+0] . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by coincidence, is identical to the quadratic polynomial approximation.

## Simpson's Rule

Now let's extend the data set from 3 to 5 points:

| x | 0.0 | $\pi / 4$ | $\pi / 2$ | $3 \pi / 4$ | $\pi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sin (\mathrm{x})$ | 0.0 | $1 / \sqrt{2}$ | 1.0 | $1 / \sqrt{2}$ | 0.0 |

Applying Simpson's Rule for four intervals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{4} & =\frac{\pi / 4}{3}[f(0)+4 f(\pi / 4)+2 f(\pi / 2)+4 f(3 \pi / 4)+f(\pi)] \\
& =\frac{\pi}{12}[0.0+4 / \sqrt{2}+2 * 1.0+4 / \sqrt{2}+0.0] \\
& =\frac{\pi}{12}[2.0+8 / \sqrt{2}] \\
& =2.0045 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simpson's Rule

## Estimate of Maximum Absolute Error:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Maximum Error } \leq \frac{h^{4}}{180}(b-a)\left|f^{4}(\xi)\right| \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have: $f(x)=\sin (x) \rightarrow f^{4}(\xi)=\sin (\xi) \leq 1.0$.
The interval $(b-a)=\pi$ and $h=\pi / 4$. Thus, we estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Maximum Error } \leq \frac{(\pi / 4)^{4}}{180} \pi=\left[\frac{\pi^{5}}{16 \times 16 \times 180}\right]=0.0066 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actual error $=0.0045$.

## Composite Simpson's Rule

Systematic Refinement: $S_{2}, S_{4}, \cdots, S_{32}$ :

| No Intervals | h | Integral $S_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\pi / 2$ | $S_{2}=2.0944$ |
| 4 | $\pi / 4$ | $S_{4}=2.0045$ |
| 8 | $\pi / 8$ | $S_{8}=2.00027$ |
| 16 | $\pi / 16$ | $S_{16}=2.00002$ |
| 32 | $\pi / 32$ | $S_{32}=2.000001$ |

Key Takeaway: Simpson's Rule converges much faster than Trapezoid ...

## Simpson's Rule

Example 2. Evaluate $I=\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x$.
Analytic Solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{4} x e^{2 x} d x=\left[\frac{x}{2} e^{2 x}-\frac{1}{4} e^{2 x}\right]_{0}^{4}=5,216.92 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Systematic Refinement: $S_{2}, S_{4}, \cdots, S_{32}$ :

| No Intervals | h | Integral $S_{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | $S_{2}=8,240.41$ |
| 4 | 1 | $S_{4}=5,670.97$ |
| 8 | 0.5 | $S_{8}=5,256.75$ |
| 16 | 0.25 | $S_{16}=5,219.67$ |
| 32 | 0.125 | $S_{32}=5,217.10$ |

## Simpson's Rule

Example 3. How many intervals are needed to compute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\sin (x)}{x}\right] d x \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

to an accuracy $10^{-8}$ ?
Solution. For the Simpson's Rule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error } \leq \frac{1}{180} h^{4}\left|f^{4}(\xi)\right|_{\max } \leq \frac{10^{-8}}{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Number of required intervals: $n \geq 20$.
This is significantly better than Trapezoidal Rule ( $\mathrm{n}=2,357$ ), but still a lot of work. We need a more efficient method!

## Python Code Listings

## Code 1: Composite Trapezoid Rule

```
# =========================================================================
# Integration.trapezoid(): Numerical integration of f(x) with
    composite trapezoid rule.
#
# Args: f (function): the equation f(x).
    a (float): the initial point.
    b (float): the final point.
    n (int): number of intervals.
Returns:
    xi (float): numerical approximation of the definite integral.
```



```
import math
import numpy as np
def trapezoid(f, a, b, n):
    h = (b - a) / n
    sum_x = 0
    for i in range(0, n - 1):
        x =a + (i + 1) * h
        sum_x += f(x)
    xi = h / 2 * (f(a) + 2 * sum_x + f(b))
    return xi
```


## Code 2: Composite Simpson's Rule



```
# Integration.simpson(): Numerical integration of f(x) with 1/3 Simpson's Rule.
# Args: f (function): the equation f(x).
    a (float): the initial point.
    b (float): the final point.
    n (int): number of intervals.
Returns:
    xi (float): numerical approximation of the definite integral.
```



```
import math
import numpy as np
def simpson(f, a, b, n):
    h = (b - a) / n
    sum_odd = 0
    sum_even = 0
    for i in range(0, n - 1)
        x =a + (i + 1) * h
        if (i + 1) % 2 == 0:
            sum_even += f(x)
        else:
            sum_odd += f(x)
        xi}=\textrm{h}/3*(f(a)+2*sum_even + 4 * sum_odd + f (b)
    return xi
```

